



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

087031562

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	----------------------

08/031,562 03/16/93 BOGOCH

S

18N1/0710

KRSEK STAMER J  
EXAMINER

SAMUEL BOGOCH  
46 EAST 91ST STREET  
NEW YORK, NY 10028

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1813

19

DATE MAILED:

07/10/95

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Julie Knock-Staples CPTO (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Roger Volup (Applicant's Rep) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 5-16-95

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all pending is general

Identification of prior art discussed: —

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed 112 <sup>1st</sup>

paragraph rej. Applicant argued that the actual data must be considered in combination with the in vitro data. This argument has been considered but does not overcome the rej. for reasons of record. Applicant was notified that any other arguments in vitro data would be considered & that clinical

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be <sup>data</sup> attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) was not required.

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Julie Knock-Staples  
Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

08 031562

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/031,562 03/16/93 BOGOCH

S

KRSEK ST EXAMINER J

18N1/0710

SAMUEL BOGOCH  
46 EAST 91ST STREET  
NEW YORK, NY 10028

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1813

20

DATE MAILED:

07/10/95

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Judith Toffenetti (39,048) (3)

(2) Julie Krsek Staples (PTO) (4)

Date of interview 6-15-95

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Judith Toffenetti

called to say that she was missing all of the attachments  
to the last advisory action. These were faxed to her.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Julie Krsek Staples  
Examiner's Signature